UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,631	10/15/2003	Alain Franciosa	A3358Q-US-NP XERZ 2 2630 01374	
FAY SHARPE / XEROX - ROCHESTER 1100 SUPERIOR AVE. SUITE 700 CLEVELAND, OH 44114			EXAMINER	
			SAEED, USMAAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2166	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/605,631	FRANCIOSA ET AL.		
Examiner-indated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	USMAAN SAEED	2166		
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_		
(1) <u>Usmaan Saeed (PTO)</u> .	(3)			
(2) Kevin M, Dunn (Reg No. 52,842).	(4)			
Date of Interview: 28 October 2008	Time: <u>11:55am</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: None				
Claims discussed: 17 and 11				
Prior art documents discussed: None				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet				
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to applicant's representative to correct renumbered claim 13 (original claim 17) which depends on cancelled claim 16. Applicant's representative authorized the examiner to correct this error to make renumbered claim 13 (original claim 17) dependent on renumbered claim 9 (original claim 11).